	Gase 3:07-cv-05/19-CRB Document 8-2 Filed (J5/06/2008 Page 1 of 3	
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
2	Attorney General of the State of California DANE R. GILLETTE		
3	Chief Assistant Attorney General GERALD A. ENGLER		
4	Senior Assistant Attorney General PEGGY S. RUFFRA		
5	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JEFFREY M. LAURENCE		
6			
7			
8	Telephone: (415) 703-5897 Fax: (415) 703-1234 Empire Leff Laurence Qdoi: ac act		
9	Email: Jeff.Laurence@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent		
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13		C07-5719 CRB	
14	.	DECLARATION OF COUNSEL IN	
15		SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR THIRD EXTENSION OF TIME TO	
16	JAMES A. YATES, Warden,	FILE RESPONSE	
17	Respondent.		
18			
19			
20	I, JEFFREY M. LAURENCE, declare under penalty of perjury as follows:		
21 22	1. I am a Deputy Attorney General for the State of California and am assigned to		
23	represent respondent in this habeas corpus action. Respondent's answer or other responsive		
24	pleading is due May 19, 2008, pursuant to this Court's November 19, 2007, order to show cause,		
25	and this Court's March 14, 2008, order extending time. I am unable to meet this deadline, and request an extension of time to file a response to the petition for the following reasons.		
26	2. Petitioner is a state prisoner who was convicted of first degree murder with a gun use		
27	enhancement, and he is serving an indeterminate sentence of 27 years to life. He contends: 1) his		
28	right to due process was violated by the state court's finding that petitioner had failed to demonstrate		
_0	Decl. of Counsel in Support of App. for Third Ext. of Time to File Response - C07-5719 CRB		
	1		
•			

5

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

response. 28 In addition to the opposition to the petition for writ of certiorari, during the time I Decl. of Counsel in Support of App. for Third Ext. of Time to File Response - C07-5719 CRB

prejudice from a 17 year pre-accusation delay, 2) his Sixth Amendment right was violated by the the state court's affirmance of the exclusion of third party culpability evidence, 3) the trial court violated his constitutional rights by dismissing a juror during deliberations for lying under oath, and 4) he received ineffective assistance of appellate counsel.

- The record in this case consists of approximately 671 pages of reporter's transcript and 412 pages of clerk's transcript and will take additional time to review and examine this record, give the fact-intensive nature of petitioner's claims.
- 4. On April 23, 2008, the California Supreme Court granted review in *People v. Story*, S161044, on respondent's petition. I am counsel of record in Story, I prepared the petition for review, and I am responsible for writing the opening brief in that case. The opening brief in *Story* is currently due on May 23, 2008. This grant of review has necessitated that I set aside my pending caseload to address the issues raised, and has caused unanticipated delays in my caseload management.
- I am currently the designated expert in the San Francisco branch of the California Attorney General's Office on sentencing issues involving Blakely v. Washington, 542 U.S. 296 (2004). On April 20, 2008, I was assigned to handle the appeal in the Ninth Circuit in *Chioino v*. Kernan, 08-15265, which implicates the constitutionality of California's sentencing scheme as reformed in the wake of Cunningham v. California, 549 U.S. 270 (2007). The Deputy Attorney General who originally handled this case is on maternity leave, and the case was reassigned to me for purposes of the appeal. Because I was not the original attorney in that case, I am not familiar with the record and will need to devote additional time to learning the background to complete the briefing in that case. Our opening brief is currently due June 20, 2008.
- The United States Supreme Court requested a response to a petition for writ of certiorari in Roundtree v. California (07-7463). On February 22, 2008, I was assigned to prepare the opposition on an expedited basis, which necessitated setting aside my pending caseload. I had to devote several days to examining the record and legal issues in that case in order to prepare our

1	have been assigned this habeas matter, I have filed a merit's brief in the California Supreme Court	
2	in People v. Villa (S151561). I also filed answers to federal habeas corpus petitions in Heang v.	
3	Woodford (C07-0980 MJJ) and Young v. Runnels (C05-119 JSW), and respondent's briefs in state	
4	appellate courts in People v. Hughes (A115308), People v. Barron (H031590), People v. Franco	
5	(H031757), People v. Estrada (H031846), People v. Johnson (A118685), People v. Easterling	
6	(A115281), People v. Ekwueme (H030655), People v. Garelick (H030976), People v. Powell	
7	(A114764), and <i>People v. Chavira</i> (A116473). Furthermore, over the course of the next 60 days,	
8	in addition to the merits brief in the California Supreme Court in Story and in the Ninth Circuit in	
9	Chioino, I must prepare and file respondent's briefs in state court in People v. Daniels & Canfield	
10	(A113184), and <i>People v. Canfield</i> (A118126), and prepare and file an answer in federal court in	
11	Gilbert v. Felker (C07-5987 TEH).	
12	8. I have contacted counsel for petitioner, Julie Schumer, and she has no objection to	
13	this extension request.	
14	Accordingly, I request that the Court grant respondent an extension of 60 days, to and	
15	including July 18, 2008, in which to file a response to the petition.	
16	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
17	Executed this 6th day of May, 2008 in San Francisco, California.	
18		
19	/s/ Jeffrey M. Laurence	
20	JEFFREY M. LAURENCE Deputy Attorney General	
21	Attorney for Respondent	
22		
23	40250068.wpd	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		